

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Catent land Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450) Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,771 01/16/2002		W. Michael Anderson	MS1-869US	7777
22801	7590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
LEE & HA		BAYERL, RAYMOND J		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
51 512 11 12,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2173	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/050,771	ANDERSON ET AL.	ANDERSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Raymond J. Bayerl	2173			

	Raymond J. Bayeri	21/3	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	6 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 4, 6 - 8, 10 - 15, 17 - 22, 25 - 29, 32</u>	- 33.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a N sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(8).	7
13.		RAYMÓND J.	RAVEDI
			Dateml
		PRIMARY EX	aminer
-	30 August 7005	ART UNIT	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposal to amend claim 1 such that "a third button" is "configured to cycle through and select a desired band" introduces a limitation not specifically considered prior to final rejection. Similar problems are introduced with the proposals for claim 6 ("a fifth set of buttons configured to move forward and backward through elements"), claim 11 ("the conditional audio control bands do not affect the audio output unless a function requiring an audio output is activated with the fourth button") and 13 ("a third set of buttons configured to select a preset item, wherein the preset item is dependant on the selected band").

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding independent claims 19, 26, which applicant does not seek to amend, the selection of sub-items after obtaining an initial selection between "primary" and "conditional audio control bands" reads upon the combination of Clayton (US #6,725,022), where such a "band" variety is accessible, when taken in view of the admission in the prior art that a car radio was known to allow for a top-level selection between the known AM, FM, CD "bands", followed by a sub-item selection within the selected band. Given Clayton's diversity of selections, and the form of distinction that exists between a "primary" and a "conditional band", the person having ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious the placement of a division along these lines at the top level of the car radio interface, since the prior art systems already divided their selection choices along lines of differentiation between media types (e.g., AM is talk-oriented while FM has music, but CD is random user-accessible, these being types that dictate the choices at the top level of the interface).